



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/627,977	07/28/2003	Andrzej Wozniak	T2147-908626	4096
181	7590	12/23/2008		
MILES & STOCKBRIDGE PC			EXAMINER	
1751 PINNACLE DRIVE			SILVER, DAVID	
SUITE 500				
MCLEAN, VA 22102-3833			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2128	
NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
12/23/2008	ELECTRONIC			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ipdocketing@milesstockbridge.com
sstiles@milesstockbridge.com

**Advisory Action
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief**

Application No.

10/627,977

Examiner

DAVID SILVER

Applicant(s)

WOZNIAK, ANDRZEJ

Art Unit

2128

—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address —

THE REPLY FILED 01 December 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
 (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
 (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).

5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.

6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: _____

Claim(s) objected to: _____

Claim(s) rejected: 105-118 and 130-143

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fail to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). _____

13. Other: See Continuation Sheet

/Kamini S Shah/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2128

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicants are thanked pointing to the specific sections of the Specification for support of the cited 35 U.S.C. § 112 deficiencies. Applicants' arguments have been fully considered with respect to the 35 U.S.C. § 112 and are persuasive. Accordingly, the rejections have been withdrawn..

Applicants' state that the reference cited does not teach:

- 1) creating a simulation wiring (para 316; 122 - the "signals" correlate to the claimed wiring)
- 2) using the configuration definition file (para 153), a component and connection rule table (para 108 - the rule check inherently performs a check based on a functionally equivalent set of rules for connections and components. The concept of DRC would have been well known to one of ordinary skill in the art. Para 131), and a connection coherency rule table for simulating at least one of the plurality of ICs (para 265 - database comprising all the rules about mutual exclusivities between signal valuespara 153 - simulator, simulating the HDL (configuration definition file))
- 3) automatically generating source code files comprising the simulation model (The HDL files correlate to the source code file).

Applicants further attempt to support their position by stating that Schubert only mentions "simulation model" in paragraph 0142. Such arguments are generally unpersuasive as a general review of the reference demonstrates that the concepts and implementations of simulation are thoroughly discussed and taught.

Applicants also stated that the reference does not discuss how a simulation model is generated or used, storing of simulation elements, creating simulation wirings, or generating source code files. As traversed above, the reference discusses and teaches signal wirings, as well as simulation, as claimed.

Accordingly, the rejections have been maintained.

The Application, as claimed, is not in condition for allowance.